

Appln. No. 09/811,724  
Response dated July 13, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of March 13, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

The March 13, 2006 Office Action and the Examiner's comments have been carefully considered. In response, claims are cancelled to place the application in condition for allowance.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 101

In the Office Action claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the Examiner contends that the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In response, claims 19 and 20 are cancelled, thereby rendering the objection under 35 USC 101 moot.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner's indication that claims 4-6, 12-14, 16 and 17 are allowed is acknowledged and appreciated.

\* \* \* \* \*


Entry of this Amendment, allowance of the claims and the passing of this application to issue are respectfully solicited.

Appln. No. 09/811,724  
Response dated July 13, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of March 13, 2006

If the Examiner disagrees with any of the foregoing, the Examiner is respectfully requested to point out where there is support for a contrary view.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Robert P. Michal  
Reg. No. 35,614

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.  
220 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10001-7708  
Tel. (212) 319-4900  
Fax (212) 319-5101  
RPM/ms

Encl.: Petition for Extension of Time